IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 268 of 2006
Date of decision: November 09, 2006.

Radha Rani & Ors.

...**Petitioner(s)**

v.

R.S. Gujral & anr.

...**Respondent(s)**

Present: Shri Jagbir Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Shri R.D. Sharma, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana for the respondents.

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioners, along with some other candidates, filed CWP No.16361 of 2005, which was disposed of by this Court on October 07, 2005 with a direction that the respondents shall consider their claim for appointment in the light of various judgments, which are referred to in the above stated order, within a period of three months.

Alleging non-compliance of the above stated order, this contempt petition has been filed.

In response to the show cause notice, an affidavit has been filed by Mr. Anand Sharma, Director, Elementary Education, Haryana and along with the said affidavit, he has also appended copies of the orders dated 1.6.2006 (Annexures R1 to R5) whereby the claim of the petitioners for appointment has been duly considered and except in the case of petitioner

No.3 (Lilawati daughter of Shishu Pal), has been turned down on the ground that they are in the waiting list and candidates higher in merit than these petitioners are still awaiting appointments. However, petitioner No.3 has been found entitled for appointment and has accordingly been offered appointment.

In this view of the matter, the directions issued by this court having been complied with, this petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners (except petitioner No.3) to impugn the orders vide which their claim for appointment has been turned down, if so required and/or advised.

Disposed of.

Rule discharged.

November 09, 2006. [**Surya Kant**]
kadyan **Judge**